

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/904,299	07/31/1997	ROBERT M. LUNDBERG		3733	
PAUL L BROV	7590 03/01/2007 WN	EXAMINER			
EMRICH AND	DITHMAR	KAMEN, NOAH P			
SUITE 3000 300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3747		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		03/01/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	(-)	Applicant(s)
	08/904,299	(フ	LUNDBERG, ROBERT M.
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit
	Noah Kamen		3747
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover s	heet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replin to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however,	or, may a reply be tir turn of thirty (30) day X (6) MONTHS from secome ABANDONE	nely filed ys will be considered timely; In the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	July 2001 .		
	his action is non-fina	al.	
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for fon Ex parte Quayle, 1	mai matters, p 935 C.D. 11,	rosecution as to the ments is 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-12 and 16-22</u> is/are pending li	n the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 and 17-22 is/are w		ideration.	
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6, 10-12, 15, 16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirem	ient.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		d to by the Exa	sminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		*,*.*,*.*,*.*,*.*.*	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			وروز فرفر ورفر ورفر ورفر فرفر فرفر ورفر و
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action	on.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been recel	∕ed.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been recei	ved in Applica	tion No
Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	************************	***********	
a) The translation of the foreign language p	rovisional applicatio	n has been re	ceived.
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 12	0 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notice	5) 🔲	Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)
411 I DIMINGROUP DISCISSIVE STRIPTION (STEEL CONTROL PROOF NOIS)	recommendation of the second s	JUICI.	

Application/Control Number: 08/904,299

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 9-12, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grennan (fig.2).

Grennan shows all of the recited elements except the capacity of the generator 166. Sizing the generator would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art according to the supply system size and the degree of peak capacity. Furthermore, the use of gauges, valves and appropriate controls are inherently necessary for the proper operation of Grennan.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/13/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant comments that Grennan compresses gas during off peak electricity utilization, that the distribution lines are not satellites, that the expansion and compression trains are both coupled to motor/generator 166, usage of motors and generators to control the compression stage of a system as well as the utilization of shafts and clutches is antithetical to the claimed invention because it results in expensive generation of electricity and fuel losses, that the compression is limited in time and the generation functions can operate only when the compressing section is shut off.

Application/Control Number: 08/904,299

Art Unit: 3747

The examiner contends that the claims do not prohibit the compression of gas during off peak utilization and the usage of motor/generator with clutches to control the compression stage. The arguments of the compression being limited in time and the generation function operating when the compressing section is shut off are not germane to the claims. Grennan clearly indicates that there are a number of distribution lines connected to the transmission line. Each distribution line is connected via the expansion/compression system. The mere recitation of "satellites" is broad enough to read on the distribution lines.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Noah. Kamen whose telephone number is 703 308 1945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on 308 1946. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 08/904,299

Art Unit: 3747

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 746 4547 for regular communications and 308 7766 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308 0861.

Noah Kamen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747

nk July 26, 2001



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PAUL L BROWN
EMRICH AND DITHMAR
SUITE 3000
300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE
CHICAGO IL 60606

COPY MAILED

JUN 2 6 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Robert M. Lundberg. Application No. 08/904,299

ON PETITION

Filed: July 31, 1997

Attorney Docket No: 101AB

This is a decision on the petition filed February 25, 2002 by facsimile transmission and followed up with hard copy March 11, 2002 filed by first class mail. The petition seeks to have the abandonment withdrawn and is thus treated under 37 CFR 1.181, in accordance with the reasoning of the decision in <u>Delgar Inc. v. Schuyler</u>, 172 USPQ

513.

The petition is GRANTED.

This application became abandoned on October 28, 2001, for failure to file a timely response to the Final Office Action mailed July 27, 2001, which set a three (3) month statutory period for reply. The instant petition and this decision precede the mailing of the Notice of Abandonment.

Petitioner asserts that the Final Office Action was never received.

Although, all documents filed by the applicant always listed the correspondence address as PAUL L BROWN, EMRICH AND DITHMAR, SUITE 3000, 300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE, CHICAGO, IL 60606, a data entry error on the part of the USPTO entered the address with a suite number of "300" instead of "3000". And, although all correspondence from the USPTO to the applicants always bore an incorrect suite number, applicants always seemed to receive the mail even with the incorrect address on it. However, petitioners should not have to bear the brunt of the errors caused by the USPTO and thus, in view of the facts set forth in the petition, it is concluded that the Office Action was incorrectly addressed and never received at the address of record. Accordingly, the holding of abandonment is withdrawn and no petition fee is due.

The address has been corrected to include the correct suite number.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 3747 for a re-mailing of the Final Office Action and for a restarting of the period for response.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions